

---

HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD  
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

---

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING  
OCTOBER 26, 2006

City College of San Francisco  
Southeast Campus  
Alex Pitcher, Jr., Community Room  
1800 Oakdale Avenue  
San Francisco, California

Reported by Christine M. Niccoli, RPR, C.S.R. No. 4569

---

NICCOLI REPORTING

619 Pilgrim Drive  
Foster City, CA 94404-1707  
(650) 573-9339

REGULATORS

AMY D. BROWNELL - San Francisco Department of Public Health  
MELANIE KITO - United States Navy  
JACQUELINE ANN LANE - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)  
TOM P. LANPHAR - California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC)  
JAMES D. PONTON - San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board  
MICHAEL WORK - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

---oOo---

PARTICIPANTS

FACILITATOR:  
MARSHA PENDERGRASS - Pendergrass & Associates

CO-CHAIRS:  
(Interim) G. PATRICK BROOKS - United States Navy  
KEITH TISDELL - Bayview-Hunters Point resident

RAB MEMBERS

PATRICIA BROWN - Shipyard artist  
BARBARA BUSHNELL - Residents of the Southeast Sector (R.O.S.E.S.), Silverview Terrace Homeowners Association, Bayview-Hunters Point Resident  
JAMES MORRISON - Environmental Technology, Residents of the Southeast Sector (R.O.S.E.S.)  
RAYMOND J. TOMPKINS - Bayview-Hunters Point Coalition on the Environment  
ROBERT VAN HOUTEN - Morgan Heights Homeowners Association

---oOo---

AUDIENCE

DOUG BIELSKIS - Engineering/Remediation Resources Group (ERRG)  
KRISTINE ENEA - Bayview-Hunters Point resident  
MIGUEL GALARZA - Yerba Buena Engineering & Construction, Inc. (YBE)  
STEVEN HALL - Tetra Tech EMI  
EARL HAMPTON - Bayview-Hunters Point resident  
NEIL HEY - Shaw Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.  
FATHINA HOLMES - Young Community Developers (YCD)  
CAROLYN HUNTER - Tetra Tech EMI  
SORAYA L. MABREY - Young Community Developers (YCD)  
RALPH PEARCE - United States Navy  
HARRELL POWELL - Bayview-Hunters Point resident  
MAURICE ROBINSON - Young Community Developers (YCD)  
PETER STROGANOFF - United States Navy ROICC Office  
ANGELA WILLIAMS - Barajas & Associates, Inc. (BAI)  
PETER WILSEY - San Francisco Department of Public Health

---oOo---

1 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, OCTOBER 26, 2006

2 6:04 P.M.

3 --oOo--

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Good evening, everyone. So  
5 excited to be here tonight. It's three minutes after  
6 6:00 -- all is well -- on Thursday, October 26. Welcome  
7 to the Hunters Point Shipyard Restoration Advisory Board  
8 meeting.

9 Everybody in the right place? Anybody just  
10 drop in just to say hi?

11 No, okay. We're all here.

12 We are going to have a jam-packed agenda  
13 tonight. Anybody have anything else they'd like to add  
14 to it tonight? subtract from it? Anybody like to  
15 dance?

16 Mr. Brooks, you'd like to dance.

17 MR. BROOKS: I would like to dance now.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

19 MR. BROOKS: I'd like to switch the order of  
20 the presentations --

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

22 MR. BROOKS: -- first update with the second.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay, we can do that.

24 DR. TOMPKINS: I'm sorry, Pat. What was  
25 your --?

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: He's going to switch the  
2 truck cleanup --

3 MR. BROOKS: Switch the order of the  
4 presentations.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- with the -- with the  
6 wetlands mitigation. Okay? We can do that.

7 Anybody have a problem with that? Okay.  
8 Anybody else have a change to the agenda?

9 MS. BUSHNELL: No. I just have an  
10 announcement.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: You have an announcement.  
12 Okay. Can we make that announcement during the  
13 community co-chair and other announcements?

14 MS. BUSHNELL: Yes.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: So we will add Miss Bushnell.

16 Anything else we need to know?

17 All right. Then we're going to move right  
18 along and just see who's here tonight. Who is here  
19 tonight?

20 I'm Marsha Pendergrass, by the way, your host  
21 for this evening.

22 And you are . . . ?

23 MS. BUSHNELL: Barbara Bushnell, RAB member.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: All rightie.

25 MR. WORK: Michael Work with U.S. EPA.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Hi, Michael.

2 MR. PONTON: James -- Jim Ponton with the  
3 Regional Water Quality Control Board.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yeah, you got to talk louder  
5 tonight.

6 MS. BROWN: Patricia Brown, Shipyard artist.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Patricia Brown.

8 MR. TISDELL: Keith Tisdell -- Keith Tisdell,  
9 co-chair -- community co-chair of RAB.

10 MR. BROOKS: Pat Brooks, Navy lead Remedial  
11 Project Manager.

12 MS. KITO: Melanie Kito, Navy lead project  
13 manager.

14 MS. BROWNELL: Amy Brownell, San Francisco  
15 Health Department.

16 MR. LANPHAR: Tom Lanphar, California  
17 Department of Toxic Substances Control.

18 DR. TOMPKINS: Dr. Raymond Tompkins,  
19 Bayview-Hunters Point Coalition on the Environment.

20 MR. MORRISON: James Morrison, RAB member.

21 MR. VAN HOUTEN: Robert Van Houten, RAB member.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Who do we have that's  
23 just attending for the fun of it tonight?

24 MR. BIELSKIS: Doug Bielskis,  
25 Engineering/Remediation Resources Group.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Hello, Doug.

2 MR. HEY: Neil Hey, Shaw Environmental.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Neil Hayes.

4 MR. HEY: Hey.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Hey.

6 MR. Hey: Yep.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Thank you.

8 MS. MABREY: Soraya Mabrey --

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Say it again.

10 MS. MABREY: -- YCD.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Wait, wait, wait, Wait. Say  
12 it again.

13 MS. MABREY: Soraya Mabrey.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Soraya Mabrey? Okay. Thank  
15 you.

16 MS. LANE: Jackie Lane, EPA.

17 MS. HOLMES: Fathina Holmes, YCD.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Fathina.

19 MR. STROGANOFF: Peter Stroganoff, Navy ROICC  
20 Office.

21 MS. ENEA: Kristine Enea, RAB applicant.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Kristine --

23 MS. ENEA: -- Enea.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Eneo?

25 MS. ENEA: Enea, E-n- --

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Spell it.  
 2 MS. ENEA: E-n-e-a.  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: E-n-e-a.  
 4 Did you get that?  
 5 Okay, great.  
 6 Thank you.  
 7 MR. HAMPTON: Earl Hampton, community member.  
 8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you, Earl. Thanks for  
 9 coming. Okay.  
 10 Anybody else? Oh, we have a table back here  
 11 just trimming with people moving their fingers.  
 12 Go ahead, just shout it out if you can.  
 13 MR. HALL: Yes. Steve Hall with Tetra Tech  
 14 EMI.  
 15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Steve, okay.  
 16 MS. WILLIAMS: Angela Williams of Barajas.  
 17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Angela –  
 18 MS. WILLIAMS: – Williams.  
 19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. She got it. Perfect.  
 20 And we have one gentleman standing right here  
 21 in the middle, and your name is . . . ?  
 22 MR. ROBINSON: Maurice Robinson, YCD.  
 23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Maurice Robinson.  
 24 MS. HUNTER: Carolyn Hunter, Tetra Tech EMI.  
 25 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Oh, we have all

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, my gosh. We try.  
 2 Who is it that's responsible for getting these  
 3 lovely minutes out in such a timely way?  
 4 MS. WILLIAMS: (Raising her hand.)  
 5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, let's give her a round of  
 6 applause. Just so little recognition.  
 7 Thank you so much. They just look fabulous.  
 8 Love that list of community meetings that comes  
 9 out right after the meeting so that we have that on our  
 10 calendar. I just love it. Thank you, Miss Hunter.  
 11 All rightie, then. So we're going to move  
 12 right along on these, and does anybody see fit to  
 13 move – to make a motion?  
 14 MR. TISDELL: I make a motion we accept the  
 15 minutes as they are.  
 16 MS. PENDERGRASS: And we have a second by  
 17 Mr. Van Houten.  
 18 So with that, and no discussion, all in favor  
 19 of accepting the minutes for the September 28th RAB  
 20 meeting into record as they are written, please signify  
 21 by saying, "Aye."  
 22 THE BOARD: Aye.  
 23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Anybody opposed? Any  
 24 abstentions?  
 25 MS. BUSHNELL: I wasn't here, so . . .

1 of the good people here tonight. Who did I forget?  
 2 MS. BUSHNELL: The lady typing.  
 3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, she's got her name.  
 4 Write your name down, Christine.  
 5 Okay. As always, we have a court reporter here  
 6 that's capturing every word of the conversation  
 7 tonight. So please don't speak over one another; and  
 8 let's speak loudly, clearly, and use words she can  
 9 spell. No. So if we could just do that tonight, that  
 10 would be great.  
 11 All right. We had a couple things going on  
 12 last month. We had a fabulous meeting last month. I  
 13 don't know if anybody was here, but I was, and it was  
 14 exciting; and we had some great minutes, and I've  
 15 reviewed them.  
 16 Anybody have anything to add to the minutes?  
 17 Anybody didn't read the minutes? What? Everybody read  
 18 the minutes. Oh, so excited. Anybody have anything to  
 19 add to them?  
 20 Mr. Tisdell, you look like on the verge. Did  
 21 you want to say something?  
 22 MR. TISDELL: No.  
 23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, my gosh.  
 24 MR. TISDELL: Everything good. I surprised  
 25 everybody got them and read them.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: One abstention on that.  
 2 Excellent. The ayes have it and we do have that as  
 3 record.  
 4 Mr. Brooks.  
 5 MR. BROOKS: For the minutes, can we certify  
 6 that we have a quorum?  
 7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes. Oh, I'm sorry. I  
 8 double-checked that earlier, but let's do that for the  
 9 record.  
 10 Do we have a quorum, Mr. Tisdell?  
 11 MR. TISDELL: Yes, we do.  
 12 MS. PENDERGRASS: And how many might that be  
 13 tonight?  
 14 MR. TISDELL: We have nine members; a quorum  
 15 would be three, four.  
 16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. And so we have one –  
 17 MR. TISDELL: – two, three, four, five, six.  
 18 MS. PENDERGRASS: All rightie, then. All  
 19 right. So we do have a quorum.  
 20 And thank you for that clarification,  
 21 Mr. Brooks.  
 22 Let's go on to our action items, which is on  
 23 the last page of your minutes. We had a carryover  
 24 item. And the Navy will select a environmental – or  
 25 will "schedule" an Environmental 101 class on a Saturday

13

15

1 once we have three members.

2 And if I remember correctly, we're going to  
3 just continue to carry that over until Mr. Forman brings  
4 that up again.

5 MR. BROOKS: Until we get that third new RAB  
6 member.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent. Excellent.

8 THE COURT REPORTER: Can you turn your mic on,  
9 Mr. Brooks, please?

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Speak into it.

11 MR. BROOKS: When we get the third member.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

13 All right. So we're --

14 MS. BROWNELL: Don't hold your breath.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: That will --

16 MR. BROOKS: Or do hold your breath.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: That will be continued on our  
18 action item list until it's completed.

19 The second carryover item: The Navy will  
20 provide a presentation on human health risk from  
21 groundwater at the Hunters Point Shipyard for the  
22 October 2006 Technical Review Subcommittee meeting, and  
23 Dr. Tompkins was the author of that action item.

24 And Mr. Brooks, do you have any follow-up?

25 MR. BROOKS: Yes, I do. Several of us met at

1 that that's on the agenda tonight. So I would consider  
2 that complete unless you trip between now and your  
3 presentation. All rightie?

4 MR. BROOKS: All rightie, then.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: All rightie, then. So we  
6 just have completed that.

7 So let -- maybe this is a very good time for us  
8 to lead into Navy announcements.

9 Take it away, Mr. Brooks.

10 MR. BROOKS: Well, I have a few Navy  
11 announcements here. As you know, Mr. Forman is not with  
12 us tonight. He's in Ohio on reserve duty, Navy reserve  
13 duty; and he'll be back on Monday, and he will  
14 definitely be at the next RAB meeting.

15 Now, remember, the next RAB meeting, because we  
16 have all the holidays coming up, is going to be on  
17 December 7th. So we are not having a RAB meeting in  
18 November. It's the first week of December, at least the  
19 first full week. So December 7th for the RAB meeting.

20 Most of you know, I think, that the Navy's  
21 fiscal year starts October 1st. So the Navy team is  
22 busy planning the work that will be done at the Shipyard  
23 in fiscal year 2007. That's from October 2006 to  
24 October 2007. And we have got a lot of exciting things  
25 in the planning stages and a lot of work that is going

14

16

1 the library for the Technical Subcommittee this month,  
2 and we discussed the risk assessment for Lennar at  
3 Hunters Point Shipyard. And Mr. Ponton was there and  
4 Keith was there --

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Well, if Mr. Ponton was  
6 there, that's -- I'm sorry.

7 MR. BROOKS: -- Barbara, Carolyn. I don't have  
8 the whole list of the people there, but it was pretty  
9 well attended.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent. So we do have  
11 minutes on that?

12 MR. BROOKS: Yes.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Terrific.

14 Dr. Tompkins, were you at that meeting as well?

15 DR. TOMPKINS: No, I wasn't there.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. But are you satisfied  
17 that that action item was --

18 DR. TOMPKINS: Definitely.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- completed?

20 Thank you very much. We are going to remove  
21 Carryover Item No. 2.

22 New action items: "The Navy will provide  
23 information on the Navy's method for cleaning trucks  
24 before they leave the shipyard."

25 Now, Mr. Brooks, you brought that, and I think

1 to have to be ongoing.

2 I wanted to just cover a brief status of our  
3 removal actions. The metal debris removal action is  
4 complete, although we have about 90,000 cubic yards of  
5 contaminated soil to be trucked off the base. So we're  
6 going to be talking about the Navy's methods of cleaning  
7 trucks before they leave the Shipyard tonight. So that  
8 removal action is complete.

9 The PCB hot spot area is not quite complete  
10 because we got up to the edge of the shoreline; we saw  
11 we have some more contamination in there, some more  
12 detailed engineering planning that needs to go on in  
13 order to get the stuff nearest the shoreline. So we  
14 have planning in the fall of 2007, hopefully get out in  
15 the springtime and do that to remove.

16 At IR-02 we're sort of in the same boat. In  
17 one area we have some contamination near the shoreline  
18 that can't be addressed without some more engineering  
19 planning basically to keep the bay out of our  
20 excavation. So we have to be very careful.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: "Keep the bay out of our  
22 excavation."

23 MR. BROOKS: When we get close to the bay, we  
24 have to be very careful because water and sand is a lot  
25 more mobile than you think it is.

17

19

MS. PENDERGRASS: You mean, there's a chance that San Francisco might slip into the bay?

MR. BROOKS: There's a chance that part of the bay might slip into our excavation.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, my gosh.

MR. BROOKS: And it may be very embarrassing for us. We don't want that to happen.

Those two will be carried on through fiscal year 2007. The metal slag area, that's been excavated, and it's been graded now.

The PCB area, I forgot to say, I think we have a picture of it. We completed the hydroseeding on that area, and that was done this week. We will do some more hydroseeding in the next couple of weeks as we restore these sites and prepare for winter.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

MR. BROOKS: And I think that's it for Navy announcements for now.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Sounds good to me.

Oh, Mr. Tisdell.

MR. TISDELL: Yes.

MS. PENDERGRASS: How are you tonight?

MR. TISDELL: Hi.

I'm Keith Tisdell. Last Wednesday I sent out E-mail to all the RAB members concerning dust upon the

implications" [sic] in the -- about the next-to-the-last sentence down in along there somewhere. And it says: Upon implication [sic] of this additional dust control there was a noticeable improvement: reduced dust generated [sic] from both the digging and loading of dump trucks. On each morning I observed that these focused dust control efforts resulted in a decrease in dust, in which they are under contract. They have no visible dust.

Am I right or wrong, Miss Brownell?

MS. BROWNELL: I can't get into detail --

MR. TISDELL: Okay.

MS. BROWNELL: -- yet --

MR. TISDELL: All right. Okay.

MS. BROWNELL: -- but that's the implied version.

MR. TISDELL: Okay. And -- and so -- and also, they -- they had to shut their self down on that Thursday of last week because of dust. So -- and he sent me some graphs that's -- that shows where everything is supposed to have been down up under their levels so they can keep operating.

But if they had to shut their self down, then he sent me the wrong graphs. And I will be going to the

18

20

Shipyards.

MS. PENDERGRASS: You sure did.

MS. BROWNELL: Parcel A.

MR. TISDELL: I mean on Parcel A.

Thank you, Miss Brownell.

And I got a response --

MS. BUSHNELL: There is no Parcel A.

MR. TISDELL: -- from --

MS. BUSHNELL: Pardon me. There is no Parcel A.

MS. BROWNELL: The former.

MR. TISDELL: Up there where all the dust is coming from. It's at Hunters Point Shipyards in San Francisco, California, in the southeast sector of San Francisco. So that's where the dust is generating from.

I sent an E-mail out to RAB members and to Amy Brownell and to Jeff Austin, who responded, and I like to read something that he responded with.

MS. BROWNELL: And I have a copy of that -- his response, if anybody --

MR. TISDELL: Oh, yes, please.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

MR. TISDELL: And I'm going to be -- I'm going to be reading from the second paragraph that says "Upon

C.A.C. to -- with this to let them know what is going on up there on the hill from a community standpoint. Thank you.

MS. PENDERGRASS: So is there any action item that you wanted the RAB to do on this or any follow-up?

MR. TISDELL: Really, the RAB cannot do nothing because it's a city property, and the RAB represent -- is a advisory board -- advisory committee to the Navy.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

Dr. Tompkins?

DR. TOMPKINS: Maybe the RAB has an opinion to -- you know, to essentially say yes, we stand that the dust control should be an issue on the property of residents and as a organization that we vote on the procedure because as citizens say hey, this is -- something needs to be addressed.

MR. TISDELL: But who's --?

DR. TOMPKINS: If we take a vote this evening and say yes, we wish that they address the issue of dust control.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Well, it sounds to me like Miss Brownell is involved -- Miss Brownell is involved in this intimately.

So is there anything that we need to put in writing for you, Miss Brownell, that would help you be

21  
1 more effective?  
2 MS. BROWNELL: Well, let me -- let me just give  
3 a few more details of this incident. So when I heard --  
4 and by the way, Keith called me before he sent the  
5 E-mail. So I knew about it. I had called Jeff and  
6 talked to them.

7 And so between Keith's phone calls -- and Keith  
8 called that 8- -- 1-866-5-LENNAR number, which anybody  
9 is supposed to call. So they did respond; and as Keith  
10 said, they addressed the issue within a few hours on  
11 each of the days.

12 However, you know, I was very upset with them  
13 about this whole thing; and I had a meeting with them on  
14 Thursday with Jeff Austin and Sheila Roebuck and met  
15 with them over an hour to go over this again and  
16 explained in no uncertain terms that I did not want to  
17 be hearing any of these kinds of complaints anymore.

18 And I have other actions that I've planned,  
19 which I can't really discuss today because then I would  
20 be giving away what I had to do.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: So we might know.

22 MS. BROWNELL: Anyways, it is a big -- yes,  
23 it's -- that's been a longstanding issue.

24 And as Keith mentioned, the -- they have their  
25 own asbestos monitoring network that if the monitors

22  
1 register above 16,000 structures per cubic meter, they  
2 have to shut themselves down; and that happened that  
3 resulted for Wednesday, and it takes a day to get the  
4 results. And so Thursday they had to shut themselves  
5 down.

6 So -- and that's the sixth time they had to do  
7 that, which -- I mean, honestly, that 16,000 level is  
8 very conservative.

9 And we have always expected that they would  
10 have to have at least some shutdowns, but they shouldn't  
11 be having them because of dust. They should just be  
12 having them because when you work with serpentinite  
13 rock, dust, the fibers themselves you couldn't see.  
14 They're microscopic. So even if you have no dust, you  
15 can still have a shutdown, but they shouldn't be having  
16 dust in addition to that.

17 So long story short, we are definitely working  
18 on that issue, and people have been told that this has  
19 got to be resolved, and we are working on it.

20 So anyways, any more -- if you see any  
21 problems, you know, you can call those numbers; you can  
22 call me; you can call Jeff. And if you guys want to  
23 send more formal letters and things, you can send them  
24 to me.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

23  
1 Dr. Tompkins and then Miss Bushnell.

2 DR. TOMPKINS: I would like to place a motion  
3 before the Board that the position of the Board be that  
4 therefore strict in compliance with dust control over  
5 there at the Shipyard. It's when Mr. Tisdell goes to  
6 the Board that then have endorsement of the Board in  
7 saying -- in putting pressure on Lennar to be in  
8 compliance with the regulations and requirements of the  
9 City and County of San Francisco.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So there's a motion on  
11 the floor to send a letter, for lack of a better term,  
12 to the Department of Public Health on behalf of the RAB  
13 saying that we take dust control seriously, basically.  
14 I mean, that would be the parameter of the letter just  
15 to kind of put that in writing.

16 I don't hear a second to that.

17 MR. VAN HOUTEN: Second.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We have a second on  
19 that motion.

20 As part of the discussion, I guess we need to  
21 probably amend that motion to say who would actually  
22 craft that letter.

23 No side bar conversations. Please talk out.

24 We recognize you can say.

25 Miss Brown?

24  
1 MS. BROWN: Oh, I was suggesting that Robert  
2 take it because I thought he was a C.A.C. member, but  
3 he's not yet.

4 MR. VAN HOUTEN: Not yet.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: That doesn't matter, does it?

6 MR. TISELL: Who would compose the letter?

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Well, I'm just asking, who  
8 wants to compose this letter?

9 Mr. Tompkins? Or Dr. Tompkins, you've brought  
10 that motion to the floor. Did you want to amend to  
11 write the letter? That's why I'm adding this  
12 clarification.

13 DR. TOMPKINS: Robert, would you do that?

14 MR. VAN HOUTEN: Yeah, pass the buck to me.  
15 Okay.

16 MR. TISELL: Pass it to somebody else.

17 DR. TOMPKINS: Robert said okay.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So --

19 DR. TOMPKINS: We got to vote on it.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- we're going to -- we have  
21 a friendly amendment to that motion that would say that  
22 in addition to writing this letter saying that the RAB  
23 strongly is concerned about dust control to the Health  
24 Department and that Mr. Van Houten would draft such a  
25 letter, and that letter would go first to Mr. Tisdell,

25  
1 and Mr. Tisdell would send that letter.  
2 So is there -- with that -- are you okay with  
3 that as the author?  
4 DR. TOMPKINS: [Shrugging shoulders.]  
5 MS. PENDERGRASS: And you are okay with that as  
6 the second?  
7 MR. RAB MEMBER: Yes.  
8 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Very fine. So  
9 I'll ask the question now. All in favor of the motion  
10 as I already stated it, signify by saying, "Aye."  
11 THE BOARD: Aye  
12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Any opposed? Anybody  
13 abstaining from this vote?  
14 Miss Bushnell is abstaining. Okay. So the  
15 ayes have it on that motion.  
16 And so the way that reads is that Mr. Van  
17 Houten will craft a letter. It will go to Mr. Tisdell.  
18 And then Mr. Tisdell will forward that to  
19 Miss Bushnell. I mean Miss Brownell. Okay,  
20 Miss Brownell. That's okay.  
21 The other -- the other piece to that is, did we  
22 want to put that on a action item to make sure that that  
23 gets followed up?  
24 MR. TISDELL: No, because I'm going to be there  
25 Tuesday. I mean second Monday.

26  
1 MS. PENDERGRASS: The follow-up is for the RAB  
2 to make sure --  
3 DR. TOMPKINS: Oh --  
4 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- that that happened.  
5 DR. TOMPKINS: -- this is --  
6 MR. TISDELL: And --  
7 DR. TOMPKINS: -- of what happened.  
8 MR. TISDELL: -- I can make the report at the  
9 December RAB meeting.  
10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So put it on as an  
11 action item that Mr. Tisdell will report on the letter.  
12 Okay? We all clear about that? Okay.  
13 All right. Miss Bushnell.  
14 MS. BUSHNELL: I just would just like to say,  
15 it would be my expectations that Miss Brownell will --  
16 you know, she's actively working on this and will report  
17 to the RAB via Keith or Carolyn or whoever about what's  
18 happening with this.  
19 We are obviously concerned about it, but you're  
20 in the middle of it and know what's happening. So when  
21 you find actions, I would expect report to me. Thank  
22 you.  
23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent.  
24 All rightie. Are we okay with everything on  
25 that?

27  
1 Did we finish with your announcements,  
2 Mr. Tisdell?  
3 MR. TISDELL: Yes, ma'am.  
4 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.  
5 Miss Bushnell, did you have an announcement?  
6 MS. BUSHNELL: Yes.  
7 MR. TISDELL: Yes, she did.  
8 MS. BUSHNELL: I was called tonight by Charles  
9 Dacus' wife. Charles was put in the hospital today and  
10 insisted that his wife call me and let you know why he  
11 wasn't here tonight. I do not know why he's there, but  
12 I will follow through on it.  
13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Dedication. Thank you.  
14 MS. BUSHNELL: Thanks.  
15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you for that.  
16 MR. TISDELL: Thank you, Miss Bushnell.  
17 MS. PENDERGRASS: All rightie. Barring no  
18 other community announcements or any announcement  
19 that -- at all --  
20 MR. MORRISON: I have one.  
21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Morrison.  
22 MR. MORRISON: My absence at the RAB for the  
23 last month has been due to I've been teaching and in  
24 China, Beijing, and Mongolia concerning environmental  
25 justice and economic justice for the -- for those

28  
1 communities.  
2 They were really concerned about having a  
3 minority person come to their countries since most of  
4 the people that they deal with come from Australia, New  
5 Zealand, and England. So I was basically the first  
6 person of -- well, the first black person that they had  
7 seen.  
8 I was stopped by the military police twice  
9 because of my teachings and actions. I saw a lot of  
10 things that were similar to what goes on in  
11 Bayview-Hunters Point. This is where -- In these  
12 areas, all of our computers that we discard are going to  
13 these countries and being dismantled by hand.  
14 My concern is that if anyone in this community  
15 claims that Bayview-Hunters Point has the highest of  
16 anything in the world, I will be glad to challenge them  
17 from now on. I saw many diseases, many ailments,  
18 misnems [phonetic] because of this kind of action and  
19 the contamination that's going on into these countries.  
20 I've always told them, call to come to South  
21 Africa, Thailand and West Africa. I don't know if I'm  
22 going to have time because I have a lot of projects here  
23 also.  
24 But from now on, if anyone on this RAB claims  
25 that Bayview-Hunters Point has the highest of anything,

29

31

I'd like to see the documentation in -- and the authors or author, from what I understand. Thank you.

MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Thank you.

Let us move on now to wetlands mitigation at Parcel E. I guess the Navy RPM, Miss Kito, will do that.

You have about 20 minutes, 25 minutes to do that.

MS. KITO: Good evening. My name is Melanie Kito. I'm the Navy Remedial Project Manager for really of Parcel E-2. Before I go into the presentation, I thought I would actually tell you guys a little bit about myself. I think I know almost everyone here. There are a few probably don't know a whole lot about me.

So I've been with Hunters Point for about a year and a half now, but I've been with the Navy for about nine years, worked on all kinds of bases from North Island to El Centro, Barstow, Testamontoro [phonetic], and eventually Hunters Point. So I have a lot of experience with the environmental and also construction things. But stick around here for a while, as I'm sure you'll see my face and hear me do more presentations in the future.

Okay. What we're here today is basically tell

30

you guys a little bit more about the Parcel E-2 wetland mitigation plan. I will explain to you what "wetland mitigation" actually means and why we have to do it, what our plans are in the future.

I'm sure you guys know, Parcel E-2 is . . . Parcel E-2 is there. It's -- Obviously, you guys probably know it for the landfill. But we will be doing some work for wetlands in the panhandle area. So I'll discuss that a little bit more.

Next, please.

So what is "wetland mitigation"? I might be saying this kind of loosely, and so let me go ahead and define it first for you guys.

According to federal and state laws, any type of wetlands that is destroyed by actions that we do, for instance, the PCB hot spot, the metal slag, metal debris that you guys probably heard on many of the presentations, we have destroyed some wetlands. So what we have to do now is to create the amount of wetlands that we destroy.

So as an example is to say if we have destroyed 3.7 acres of wetlands, now we have to by law create at least what we have destroyed as far as three point -- at least 3.7 acres of wetlands.

Next, please.

I'll give you a little bit of background of the Parcel E-2. As I just mentioned that any wetlands that we destroy, we do have to create.

The construction activities that have occurred already that have been destroyed was -- I think I mentioned this already, but the metal slag and metal debris.

Another construction activity that will also destroy wetlands -- it has not happened yet -- is any type of remedy that we'll have for the Parcel E-2. That's still in the process, and we haven't gone to that yet.

So as we know that the two TCRA sites, metal slag and metal debris, have almost been completed, but the construction activities for the remedy has not. So because they are two different schedules, we want the -- we want the wetlands to be created at the same time.

So even though the mitigation plan is really for the TCRA site, which is metal slag and metal debris, we can't actually do it right now at this point, at least we don't want to. We want to wait for the final remedy to do that for the FS, and I'll explain this to you too.

So just think that we -- if we were to create, let's say, 4 acres of wetlands right now but then, let's

32

say, a year from now we have a remedy that is not consistent with how we built it, we're going to have to rip out all of that wetlands and redo it, and it's just silly for -- It's a lot of money and a lot of time. So we'll just do it at the same time.

Next, please.

This is actually a picture of the metal slag, which is in the panhandle area, the removal. As you could see, it looks really nice. It's a nice little beach.

This is the area that we propose to have the wetlands at; but like I mentioned before, we do not plan to actually build a wetlands at this point until we actually find out what the remedy will be for all of Parcel E-2.

Next, please.

This is looking in the opposite direction, actually looking -- would be north. The other was looking south.

And can I see that pointer?

Okay. You could see the beach line here, and you could see these plants on the side there. These are actually called "emergent wetlands" that still exist. These are the existing ones. That's what it looks like, and those are some of them that we have actually

33

35

1 destroyed.

2 MR. BROOKS: Some more? We're all tired.

3 MS. KITO: All right. Next, please.

4 Hydroseeding. Before I go into the  
5 hydroseeding, let me go and explain what hydroseeding  
6 is.

7 We -- "Hydroseeding" is a term that we do  
8 enable [sic] to prevent erosion, because if we -- if you  
9 just have a large area of land and it rains, we all know  
10 that the silts -- silt will eventually go into the bay,  
11 and you could -- at least that's when you see erosion.

12 So what we do enable to prevent that is: We  
13 get a truck that puts a mixture onto an area that's  
14 normally just all dirt, and the mixture is seeds and  
15 hay, and it's normally grain. A lot of you see it on  
16 the freeways. It is grain and it's going to be the  
17 fertilizer with that.

18 Won't actually grow until it rains. But once  
19 it rains, the hay kind of keeps the moisture in, and it  
20 helps germinate the seeds in about a week or so the time  
21 that it starts growing. But eventually it will start  
22 growing, take through, and then that will prevent your  
23 erosion; and then also, like I said before, it prevents  
24 any type of silt going into the bay. That would protect  
25 that.

34

1 So since the wetlands will not be built in the  
2 metal slag area at this point, we will hydroseed it  
3 enable for to keep it from eroding during the rainy  
4 season, which will probably be coming pretty soon.

5 Next, please.

6 This is a picture of hydroseeding happening at  
7 the PCB hot spot, happened last week, I believe.

8 MR. BROOKS: Yeah.

9 MS. KITO: So you see the truck here and this  
10 man kind of helping out here, and you can see this big  
11 cloud of -- it looks -- it's green. It's, like, since  
12 going to be fertilizer going onto it.

13 Next, please.

14 This is actually what it looked like  
15 afterwards. You can see the little pieces of hay in  
16 here; and I don't know if you can tell from there, but  
17 it is a little bit of a green pigment in there. Once it  
18 starts raining, that's when you'll see it start  
19 growing.

20 Next, please.

21 Parcel E-2 background. The entire Parcel E-2  
22 here consists of 47.4 acres. The landfill itself, which  
23 all you guys know about already, is 22 acres, and the  
24 capped portion is 14.

25 The shoreline, which extends from here all the

1 way over here [indicating], is approximately 2800 linear  
2 feet. And the draft feasibility study, which obviously  
3 has the remedy that we are looking at for the parcel, we  
4 are looking at three different alternatives that's in  
5 preparation right now.

6 First alternative is going to be no action,  
7 actually a requirement for NCP for feasibility studies.  
8 Alternative 2 is to excavate and dispose of soil the  
9 entire parcel, and Alternative 3 would be capping the  
10 landfill, the adjacent areas, which include this  
11 [indicating] area and the panhandles. You basically cap  
12 the entire parcel, control the landfill gas, and then  
13 also what I'll talk about today, which is mitigate the  
14 wetlands that we have destroyed due to any kind of  
15 construction activity.

16 Next, please.

17 Background, E-2 panhandle. Just that area that  
18 I was talking about is 12.5 acres. Of that area, it  
19 is -- it's all man-made. There's nothing that's natural  
20 here. It's completely artificial fill.

21 The inter-tidal wetlands, which means that's  
22 the wetlands that actually are located near the bay so  
23 it has a direct interface with the bay, is 2.4 acres.

24 And then they also have some area located up  
25 here [indicating], which already exist from runoff from

36

1 the landfill, and actually that would be fresh water.

2 Fresh water -- what am I trying to say?

3 Wetlands.

4 MR. BROOKS: And I think you also have some  
5 storm water running onto shipyard property that's fresh  
6 water also.

7 MS. KITO: Yeah. Normally the storm water runs  
8 from the middle and also from the side of here, yeah.  
9 And this is seasonal, which means that it pulls up  
10 during the winter, during the rainy time, and then it  
11 just completely dries out during the summer.

12 The wetlands provide habitat for seasonal  
13 wintering and migrating wildlife. But other than that,  
14 it's really not a high quality. So actually, we do  
15 mitigate the wetlands for this area, are -- actually be  
16 a better quality than what we have out there naturally.

17 Okay. A nice little slide, actually bad  
18 news -- this is the reason why we are even out there --  
19 are contaminants that are actually in the panhandle  
20 area. We have industrial and construction waste,  
21 petroleum, PCBs, which I'm sure you guys are aware of  
22 already anyhow, metals; and then we also have what Ralph  
23 and Laurie always talked about, which is the rad waste,  
24 the little rad waste.

25 Next please.

37

39

1 This is just another picture of -- It's  
 2 actually this little corner right here [indicating].  
 3 And you could see the emergent wetlands that are really  
 4 nice. They're pretty vibrant right here. That's what  
 5 that is right now.  
 6 Next, please.  
 7 It's just another picture. I just want to show  
 8 you the extent of what it was. I thought it was kind of  
 9 nice, to have a lot that still exist.  
 10 Next, please.  
 11 Okay. If you think that we're doing these  
 12 wetland planning on our own, you're wrong. We are  
 13 actually having a lot of people that we coordinate with  
 14 us with [sic]. As you know, we coordinate everything  
 15 with EPA, DTSC, and Water Board, who are here today; and  
 16 also the City of San Francisco is also here.  
 17 The other folks that we deal with in  
 18 coordination would be Cal Parks, Arc Ecology and also  
 19 BCDC organization, also known as the San Francisco Bay  
 20 Conservation and Development Commission. So just to  
 21 make sure that everyone's involved with the planning,  
 22 and then we all share ideas how to do this.  
 23 Next, please.  
 24 This is conceptual wetland plan. This only  
 25 shows basically the panhandle. We're looking at

38

1 wetland.  
 2 This area here would actually be the  
 3 inter-tidal portion of it. There's areas in here where  
 4 bay can actually flow into this area, and it will  
 5 inundate it and make sure that wildlife can survive.  
 6 This little area here [indicating] would be  
 7 that fresh water, and it gets fed by storm water and --  
 8 when it rains during the winter times over here and  
 9 basically over here as well from the landfill.  
 10 Another area that's -- it's actually kind of  
 11 neat, I think -- is: We -- From a request from the  
 12 City of San Francisco, we are proposing actually put a  
 13 bay walk trail right around here. And actually, it's a  
 14 dual purpose for us too so then people from the public  
 15 can actually go out there and enjoy the wildlife by the  
 16 shore. But then for us, the Navy, it's also opportunity  
 17 for us to install groundwater monitoring wells out there  
 18 as well. So it will be a dual purpose for that.  
 19 Next, please.  
 20 This is a picture of the metal slag area, and  
 21 you can just see the birds just love it there. I'm  
 22 sorry. Metal reef. Excuse me. Thanks.  
 23 Next, please.  
 24 This is the schedule. The draft mitigation  
 25 plan and monitoring plan is actually due pretty soon.

1 It's going to be in a couple weeks.  
 2 The final is hopefully going to be out in  
 3 January. This obviously can change slightly because  
 4 it's kind of dependent or -- it's dependent on what the  
 5 final remedy will be for the RIFS for Parcel E-2. The  
 6 draft RIFS is due out in January, and then the final is  
 7 kind -- to be determined. We are not quite sure exactly  
 8 when that would be.  
 9 Next.  
 10 Question. So this is a blue heron. I went out  
 11 there yesterday. Big fat blue heron out there. That  
 12 was kind of nice.  
 13 Do we have any questions?  
 14 Barbara.  
 15 MS. BUSHNELL: Could you go back to the  
 16 conceptual wetland plan?  
 17 MS. KITO: Sure.  
 18 MS. BUSHNELL: And I did confer with Michael,  
 19 but there's a Yosemite Slough, which I believe at the  
 20 upper left-hand --  
 21 MS. KITO: Yes. It's going to be right here.  
 22 MS. BUSHNELL: Yeah, yeah, that's where it is;  
 23 and there is a wetlands, I believe, underway in that  
 24 area already via the City of San Francisco. And I  
 25 just -- just geographically it makes it more useful for

40

1 me to look at.  
 2 And my second question, is that why you're  
 3 working with Arc Ecology? because apparently, they have  
 4 the contract for Yosemite Slough.  
 5 MS. KITO: The answer is yes. That's not the  
 6 only reason why we are working with, and we are working  
 7 with because we have to do wetlands in this area. It  
 8 happens to be close, which is kind of a nice fit.  
 9 Well, we also, both of us, are working on the  
 10 Bay Trail, which I think theirs ends about right here  
 11 [indicating]. So, obviously, we would like to extend it  
 12 out to our Parcel as well so it connects as one unit.  
 13 But it is kind of nice that they are planning on doing  
 14 that.  
 15 MS. BUSHNELL: Well, would that trail also go  
 16 around to where the metal -- the . . . ?  
 17 MR. TISDELL: Metal reef?  
 18 MS. BUSHNELL: Metal reef, yes.  
 19 MS. KITO: Yes.  
 20 MS. BROWNELL: That would be the ultimate idea,  
 21 having it go all over.  
 22 MS. BUSHNELL: Because that would be a  
 23 beautiful walk.  
 24 MS. KITO: It would be nice. And just  
 25 clarification is, actually, not Arc Ecology is doing

41

43

1 that. That's actually Cal Parks that's doing the  
2 wetlands and Yosemite Slough.

3 MS. BUSHNELL: Thank you.

4 MS. KITO: Uh-huh.

5 MR. TISDELL: I got a question. Now, when you  
6 do wetlands, you can walk out there on wetlands?

7 MS. KITO: No, you don't walk out on wetlands,  
8 no, no. But you can walk out on the Bay Trail, which  
9 will be a -- made out of asphalt.

10 MR. TISDELL: Okay.

11 MS. KITO: Yes.

12 MR. TISDELL: All right.

13 MS. KITO: Any other questions?

14 MS. ENEA: So I'm catching up a little bit  
15 here. When you say that you don't want to do wetlands  
16 now because you want to wait until -- how much wetlands  
17 do you need to restore based on what happens on Parcel E  
18 or...?

19 MS. KITO: No. Okay. I'll just do a scenario  
20 here, which -- let's just say that we went ahead and did  
21 mitigation of this area, and let's just go ahead and  
22 assume that we just did the worst-case scenario, and we  
23 built 4 acres, because that's something we have existing  
24 right now. So that we know that it wouldn't be one  
25 show.

42

44

1 But if we built already existing and the little  
2 critters started growing into it a year after but then  
3 we do our RIFS and we find out that we have to put a  
4 liner down but we didn't --

5 MS. ENEA: I'm sorry. What is RIFS?

6 MS. KITO: That's the remedial investigation  
7 feasibility study, because --

8 MS. ENEA: You thought it was clean, and not  
9 yet?

10 MS. KITO: Right. So what that -- what that  
11 is, is -- it's a -- we are doing the CERCLA process.  
12 The ultimate reason why we're out here is cleaning  
13 contamination.

14 So we know that this parcel has contaminants in  
15 it. It has a landfill located on it. We also know it  
16 has pockets of debris. We just know that already.

17 So what we do is from the CERCLA process -- we  
18 have done tons of data throughout the years. So we do  
19 borings; we do investigations. We got all of this  
20 information. We put it in one document. We look at it  
21 and say, okay, from all of our expertise, we recommend  
22 from all of the information that we have of you doing  
23 this, this, or this.

24 And in this case, we have three alternatives,  
25 and you use -- get all your reasons together. From that

1 point -- that's the RIFS. From that point, we go into  
2 the proposed plan where we say, okay, from all of --  
3 everything that we have looked at -- and everyone can  
4 review these documents -- that's when the public would  
5 know, okay, here's what we think after reading the  
6 document what it could be. And then we actually  
7 recommend an alternative.

8 So from -- with that being done, to go back to  
9 your original question, which is why is it on separate  
10 schedules? So if we were to build these wetlands now  
11 without having a liner, because we don't know what the  
12 extent will be, but the RIFS or remedial investigation  
13 feasibility study actually says, you know what, the best  
14 thing that we should do is cap the entire parcel and not  
15 excavate the entire parcel.

16 Now we have built this without putting a liner  
17 underneath it. So now we would have to destroy it and  
18 then install the liner and then build it again.

19 MS. ENEA: So I guess where I was confused is  
20 how does this relate to --? I thought you said there  
21 were two parcels, one and something else on another  
22 parcel before you could decide what to do with this.

23 MS. KITO: It's all on E-2. Everything I'm  
24 talking about is on E-2. And what I'm saying is -- I  
25 think you have a very good -- very good question. I'm

1 just -- The wetlands is -- The rule is, any amount of  
2 wetlands that are destroyed have to be created.

3 So I -- we already have the TCRA site. The  
4 metal slag area has already destroyed wetlands. We know  
5 that. But we know that when we actually go out and do  
6 more work out there, we are going to be destroying  
7 more.

8 MS. ENEA: So you're just waiting to find out  
9 the total destruction on that parcel. That's what  
10 you're saying?

11 MS. KITO: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you.

12 MS. ENEA: You're just waiting to have the  
13 total amount of destruction on that parcel before you do  
14 any --?

15 MS. KITO: The fact that the main -- the main  
16 reason why we're waiting is, we want to see what the  
17 final remedy for the entire parcel will be, because that  
18 doc -- the RIFS document actually -- it affects the  
19 entire parcel.

20 MS. ENEA: Okay.

21 MS. KITO: Did I answer your question?

22 MS. ENEA: Yeah, I think so. Thanks.

23 MR. BROOKS: Show them again. This is the area  
24 where we already destroyed the wetlands.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Pat, can you use the mic?

MR. BROOKS: Okay. The area you see in this photograph is where we have already destroyed wetlands, cleaning out the contamination. So now there's this clean soil, bare soil, easily eroding soil. So that's why Melanie was talking about the hydroseeding theory.

But this is the area where we originally said, okay, we have to replace the wetlands. And then, as Melanie's saying, once we start with the landfill, we are going to come back; and when we do landfill construction, then we are going to destroy this thing again. So why not destroy it once?

MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Any other questions?

MS. KITO: If you still need clarification, we can talk afterwards.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Well, thank you very much. (Applause.)

MS. PENDERGRASS: And we're going to take a ten-minute break and come back at 7 o'clock.

(Whereupon, a recess is taken from 6:50 p.m. to 7:08 p.m.)

MS. PENDERGRASS: We are ready. Everybody had time. You guys are just going to keep me on task, don't you. Right? To the moment. I'm sorry. I'm five minutes over. So we're going to have this fabulous

off the tires.

Next slide, then.

MS. BROWN: I can't see.

MR. BROOKS: Am I in your way?

MS. BROWN: Yeah. Thank you.

MR. BROOKS: So here we are getting some more of the tires and horizontal surfaces wherever dirt might accumulate and just give the truck a complete look-over and completely go all the way around it and brush off any dirt.

Next slide.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Pat, which trucks are these?

MR. TISDELL: Pardon?

MR. BROOKS: Which trucks are these?

MS. PENDERGRASS: Which trucks are these?

These are --

MR. BROOKS: I frankly don't know which trucks these are. What -- We have an agreement with the community that we use the local trucking firms --

MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

MR. BROOKS: -- to the maximum degree that we can; but because we are running sometimes 50 trucks of contaminated soil and maybe 20 trucks of clean soil into the Shipyards, then we bring in some outside trucks. So it could be local; it could be an outside truck. I'm

truck cleaning at Hunters Point Shipyards by the famous Pat Brooks.

MS. BUSHNELL: Infamous, rather.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Infamous? Famous. And Pat is going to be more animated and more lively than he ever has been before, and with that --

MR. BROOKS: Well --

MS. PENDERGRASS: And he's going to do this in 15 minutes.

MR. BROOKS: There is -- it will be rather quick 'cause it's all pictures.

We were requested to look at how we clean the trucks and, you know, explain some of the trucking issues and processes that we follow out here where we haul -- anywhere between 20 and 50 trucks a day go out of the Shipyards right now with contaminated soil.

We also bring clean soil in from different quarries or construction sites or whatnot because when you dig a hole and take the soil off site, it fills back up with clean soil.

Now, let's hit the first slide here.

All right. Here we are over at the PCB hot spot area. We've got a guy that -- as I said before, we clean the tires and clean the trucks all with dry brushes, and you can see here we are knocking some dirt

not sure where they come from.

But here's part of the problem. I think that Dr. Tompkins was saying that he was following the truck off last RAB meeting, was it? Yeah, last RAB meeting he said that he noticed that there was some soil falling off the truck. So I go out there and I'm looking at it.

And okay, here we are where -- this is what we are doing, cleaning horizontal surfaces. And you can see this guy is on his tiptoes, and he's got a nice long broom, but he's not quite hitting the top of that truck.

Next slide.

So with -- you know, it only takes about 50 years of combined college education to screw the handle on the broom the wrong way; and this is what we came up with.

So this is how we start cleaning the top rail. The loader comes in, and he fills these trucks up, and some of the dirt will land on the top rail.

All the trucks have covers, and you can see this cable here is what is going to pull this guy's cover down. And some of the soil would've been knocked off when this cover gets pulled over the truck. But just went down to the local hardware store there and got

1 this broom. Screw the handle on the wrong way, and  
2 makes a real nice tool for cleaning off the top rail,  
3 which, you know, most guys can't reach if your name's  
4 not Yao Ming.

5 Next slide.

6 And there's a closeup. Yeah, we are pretty  
7 proud of this tool.

8 (Laughter.)

9 MS. BROWNELL: Can you market it?

10 MR. TISDELL: Pat.

11 MR. BROOKS: Not anytime soon.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: But look how many phone calls  
13 and observations it took to get to this.

14 MR. BROOKS: So there we are cleaning the top  
15 rail.

16 Next slide.

17 Now, we have had some complaints about trucks  
18 running overweight, and all I can say is that we weigh  
19 the trucks at the Shipyard as they leave. We spot-check  
20 them coming in with bare, clean soil. But we pay for  
21 the disposal of contaminated soil by weight. So it's  
22 real important for us to know how much soil goes out  
23 because that's -- you know, that's actually money  
24 leaving our checking account right here.

25 So here's our truck at the weight scale.

1 Next slide.

2 Here's our operator. And what this is -- it's  
3 pretty cool. It's a giant beam scale, and that guy  
4 is -- he's parked on that like you might step on at the  
5 doctor's office or something. And this beam is like  
6 8 feet long, and it's a pretty accurate little scale.

7 Next slide.

8 So here's our truck. He's been cleaned. He's  
9 been weighed. And he's ready for one more thing.

10 Next slide.

11 He's ready to get tarped. And so he gets  
12 tarped, covered, and he's ready for the road. These  
13 trucks are going down to Kettleman Hills landfill with  
14 the PCB contaminated soil.

15 And I got the -- the next slide here might be  
16 my toughest slide, 'cause I'm leaving the program here  
17 with the Navy. I'm going to be back for one more RAB  
18 meeting probably and going on to work for a private  
19 firm. And so this is my farewell to all of you here at  
20 the RAB meeting.

21 (Applause.)

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Why are we clapping, folks?

23 MS. BUSHNELL: We are going to miss him.

24 MR. TISDELL: All this hard work he did. We  
25 appreciate it.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: You know how long it took us  
2 to bring Pat up to level?

3 MR. TISDELL: Yeah, I know.

4 MR. BROOKS: Actually, here I am inspecting my  
5 own storm water controls on my driveway back at home.

6 Okay. Questions, comments, about the --

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Dr. Tompkins.

8 MR. BROOKS: -- the action item?

9 Can we snap back away from the sad farewell  
10 thing?

11 There we go.

12 ATTENDEE: Where's that scale located?

13 MR. BROOKS: The scale is, oh, just right as  
14 you leave the gates on Parcel E. It's probably 500 feet  
15 up from the gates.

16 MR. TISDELL: Right across from Building 813.

17 DR. TOMPKINS: Oh, Pat, I followed three  
18 different trucks since the last RAB meeting. They  
19 didn't look that clean. One problem, trucks coming  
20 onto; and actually, all of them were going over to, I  
21 guess, "D" in the corner by the bay. They weren't  
22 Lennar's trucks. I followed them, because I work on  
23 Evans.

24 And they were -- there was a blue truck with a  
25 silver trailer, and it was filthy dirty from one end to

1 the other coming onto the property. Could you --?

2 MR. BROOKS: And where did you say you saw this  
3 truck?

4 DR. TOMPKINS: On Evans, going -- coming up  
5 Evans Street, going onto the Navy's property, went past  
6 the gate. I followed it. Went onto the Navy's  
7 property. Just before you get to the train where they  
8 have all the trains stored, it made a left, then went  
9 back into the property back there where they had --

10 MR. BROOKS: Back by the police station there?

11 DR. TOMPKINS: All the way back.

12 MR. BROOKS: Okay.

13 DR. TOMPKINS: And --

14 MR. BROOKS: Not -- not one of our trucks.  
15 It's all on contaminated soil.

16 DR. TOMPKINS: No. I'm just saying it was  
17 dirty coming in. It was filthy.

18 MR. BROOKS: Not one of our trucks.

19 DR. TOMPKINS: Oh.

20 MR. BROOKS: And I can tell you that because  
21 our trucks stage up there.

22 If you were going to say that you parked here  
23 by the Golden Gate Railroad yard, then I'd say --

24 DR. TOMPKINS: Right, then they made a left  
25 turn.

53

55

MR. BROOKS: No left turn. From there they go through the gate into Parcel E.

DR. TOMPKINS: Okay. Well, this was the Navy --

MR. BROOKS: No. That's why I'm telling you it's not one of our trucks. Our trucks stage right here in front of the Golden Gate Railroad Museum.

DR. TOMPKINS: All right.

MR. BROOKS: And as they are needed, they get called in here. They are lined up along Parcel E.

DR. TOMPKINS: They make kind of a loop, and then they come up --

MR. BROOKS: And they --

DR. TOMPKINS: -- this way.

MR. BROOKS: They go get loaded. They pass through the radiation monitor, you know, a couple, two, three times; and then they come out here to the scale.

DR. TOMPKINS: Are you talking about the trucks on "B"? I'm talking about --

MR. BROOKS: I'm talking about these trucks that are working for us on Parcel E. We don't -- we don't have --

DR. TOMPKINS: I'm talking "B."

MR. BROOKS: 'Cause we don't have trucks that belong -- have any business taking a left here at the

from that dirt lot.

MR. BROOKS: Okay. Well --

DR. TOMPKINS: But leaving the Shipyard, then dropping, and can we get it washed?

MR. BROOKS: Let me check into it further because now, come to think of it, we do have a clean soil stockpile down there sort of between the Dry Dock No. 4 and the police station. So if a truck did hang a left there on the street, it could have been hauling clean soil there. But you don't think so?

MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Tisdell has something to add.

MR. TISDELL: Pat, when the trucks -- you know, not to cut you off. When the trucks -- after they -- they -- before they get staging coming in in the morning, they come back from Kettleman; they get down there from, say, anywhere from 9:00 -- say, from 8:00 to 1 o'clock in the morning.

And what they do is, they go down back that -- right before they come into the Parcel E gate, they go down, loop back, and park along that side because there's so many trucks there that, you know, it would be -- wouldn't nothing else get by.

You got -- like, on Monday, Wednesday, and Friday, you got the bins haulers; and then you got, say,

54

56

intersection in front of Parcel E.

DR. TOMPKINS: Not for Parcel D. It's like when you have the work at the storage building.

MR. BROOKS: Yeah.

DR. TOMPKINS: Okay. It made a left going there, and there was some activity in the back where the dirt's piled up at. They were going back there. Truck was dirty coming onto the property.

And then you could see where they had brushed, 'cause I waited --

MR. BROOKS: Okay.

DR. TOMPKINS: -- so I could have an intelligent discussion and say I followed this. It had been brushed. But could you have them wash it first? Brushing would be a little cleaner.

And then could you make a requirement of the trucks before entering into the property that they be cleaned and washed before they come do business with you? 'cause if you go down Evans, leaving, like, Dago Mary's, the difference is, you'll see trucks that will be -- it's dirtier on the right side than on the left side where trucks are coming in. It's substantial difference.

Then when you get down to the church and you make the turn, that's a different kind of dirt coming

about 50 more trucks that -- you know, that line up next to the fence and next to the buildings. And that's where Ray had talked about where they turn around and coming up.

MR. BROOKS: Okay. Well, let me talk to our major contractors there, Tt- -- or Tetra Tech ECI, who -- I think Bill's down in Santa Ana tonight, so I can't talk to him. He's usually here at the RAB meeting -- and then Mark Hallock with TPA-CKY and see if he can't get some kind of requirement to clean the trucks, and we should be able to require clean truck hauling our dirt.

DR. TOMPKINS: One other point.

MR. BROOKS: Most guys take a lot of pride in their trucks. This guy with the red truck, I said "Man, your truck is looking pretty good," because I took his picture.

And he said: "Oh, it's Friday, you know, and I want to get home. It would be a lot cleaner."

DR. TOMPKINS: It was nothing like that. It was three trucks, like. So it's like --

MR. BROOKS: Yeah.

DR. TOMPKINS: -- it's a pattern, and it's not an isolated incident.

MR. BROOKS: But, you know, you do see trucks

1 in the highway; and from my experience, most guys keep  
2 their trucks looking pretty good. But if there's some  
3 guys who aren't, then we'll just -- we'll just either  
4 not use them --

5 DR. TOMPKINS: -- business.

6 MR. BROOKS: -- or that gives us a black eye,  
7 and I don't like that --

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: We are beating --

9 MR. BROOKS: -- any more than --

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- a dead horse.

11 MR. BROOKS: -- you do.

12 MS. BUSHNELL: Yeah, we are.

13 DR. TOMPKINS: One other point. The road's  
14 property itself on the Shipyard, could that be washed  
15 down as well? 'cause when I was going through, that was  
16 dusty. And it's like it's a little drizzle. So when I  
17 came out, my car, like, the bottom is all muddy from  
18 it. If we could narrow the attempts to hold down the  
19 dust.

20 MR. BROOKS: Well, yeah, with the attempts to  
21 hold down the dust, create a little mud. But Bill  
22 Dougherty is working on getting us some street sweepers  
23 there to work on those roads. And I think that's going  
24 to the place -- I thought it would be this week, but  
25 maybe by next week.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Thank you.

2 Any other questions?

3 Mr. Van Houten, how about you?

4 MR. VAN HOUTEN: Yeah. I just want to add into  
5 that too because I live right there. So the street is  
6 very dirty outside of the gate heading out of the  
7 Shipyard.

8 And he's right, Monday morning when it was --  
9 had been showering earlier in the morning, it was just  
10 mud, and my car got dirty.

11 But it's the fact that if there's dust on the  
12 road and when it's dry, it's being thrown up in the  
13 air. My concern is, what is in that dust that's being  
14 thrown up in the air? because there's Lennar and then  
15 there's what you guys are working with.

16 I was kind of surprised. I thought at some  
17 point I understood that the trucks were being washed  
18 before they left the Shipyard --

19 DR. TOMPKINS: Lennar --

20 MR. VAN HOUTEN: -- no matter what they were,  
21 to keep it from getting onto the streets, the city  
22 streets, because they have been dusting off. I still  
23 think that there's stuff that could be still in the dust  
24 that's still . . .

25 DR. TOMPKINS: But that's a whole 'nother

1 issue.

2 MS. BROWNELL: All of the streets that -- first  
3 ones when you first get in, those are Lennar's  
4 responsibility. So if you have any problems, call that  
5 866-5-Lennar number. And they've, like, gone through  
6 permutations and said they're going to sweep and they  
7 are going to wash and they're going to blah-blah-blah.

8 So if you're having troubles with it, call them  
9 up. They are responsible for that whole -- That's  
10 their department, coming -- the Innes, Donahue, Galvez;  
11 then it becomes Navy property for a little bit, and  
12 then -- and then -- actually, it's all Navy property.  
13 And then they are responsible for Crisp because they  
14 messed it up, even though it's Navy property. But that  
15 little piece coming in, that's their responsibility.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Tisdell and Dr. Tompkins.

17 MR. TISDELL: As far -- as far as dust, they  
18 do -- they -- they do -- I'm not defending nobody  
19 because wrong is wrong, and Pat knows I would say  
20 something.

21 But I know that they do use a lot of water  
22 'cause with me driving around on the Shipyard, I got to  
23 give my car a once-a-week bath because all of the mud  
24 that -- you know, from where they doing the dust control  
25 gets wet, just like you said, gets along the sides of

1 your car. That can't be helped.

2 That's what happening with them trucks. We  
3 keep it watered down, especially going through the  
4 Portor monitor. I got to figure out a way to get a  
5 water truck through there and wet it down, you know, so  
6 that don't get -- kick up no dust because that was one  
7 of the dry spots.

8 And -- but, you know, it's just -- you either  
9 going to have -- going to have dust, or you going to  
10 have little drippings of mud.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

12 So, Dr. Tompkins, you have one more thing to  
13 add to this?

14 DR. TOMPKINS: Yeah.

15 For the streets that where this is what we are  
16 concerned about, the residents living there, that, one,  
17 the streets need to be hosed down with a water hose,  
18 fire hose, and washed down on both sides and that whose  
19 responsibility is it?

20 I'm not here to blame the Navy or Lennar or  
21 whatever. The issue is that the community needs to be  
22 protected, and it needs to be cleaned up and that how  
23 can the Navy, Lennar, or the City get together and wash  
24 down the streets? Not for the street sweeper, because  
25 we went through that crap on Parcel B before, and the

61

63

1 guy went with a street sweeper 30 miles an hour, and the  
2 crap was everywhere.

3 We need it washed down with a hose all the way  
4 down to the church and have it cleaned. That way we'd  
5 know if anything is being tracked with the methods that  
6 are being employed from this point on --

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: And the street --

8 DR. TOMPKINS: -- are effective.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: And the street you're talking  
10 about --?

11 DR. TOMPKINS: Evans.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Evans.

13 MR. ATTENDEE: Innes.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: And so --

15 DR. TOMPKINS: Innes. I'm sorry. Innes.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So it's Innes.

17 DR. TOMPKINS: Right.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: And who's responsible for  
19 Innes is Lennar? Is that what you're saying?

20 MS. BROWNELL: I think between Lennar and the  
21 DPW. DPW is the number you should be calling for Innes  
22 itself, but you should also -- if you know for certain  
23 that it's coming from Lennar, then you should call  
24 Lennar at the same time.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Well, it sounds to me

62

64

1 like again this is one of those kind of situations where  
2 you're asking for another entity to be involved. It's  
3 really not a matter of cleaning up and keeping things  
4 clean; is that correct?

5 MS. BROWNELL: Yes.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: So would it make sense for  
7 this body on behalf of the RAB in the cleanup to, you  
8 know, send a letter to the DPW and the City of San  
9 Francisco asking them to just keep Innes clean from  
10 such -- Point A to Point B so that it won't contaminate  
11 the rest of the area? Because that's what you're  
12 asking; is that not correct?

13 MS. BUSHNELL: I think the CAC should be  
14 involved in that too.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So who on this group  
16 sits on the CAC that could bring that to the CAC or  
17 either a letter that gets generated from this group?  
18 Again, it's Mr. Tisdell who would be responsible for  
19 generating --

20 MR. TISELL: Who?

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- that letter.

22 You are the co-chair.

23 MR. TISELL: Yes, but . . .

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: So what I proposed is that  
25 Dr. Tompkins, being the author of this motion, draft a

1 letter to come to you for your signature that contains  
2 those elements. Mr. Tisdell.

3 MR. TISELL: Okay. I'll go for -- along with  
4 that. But --

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: No buts. If you "But," you  
6 negate it.

7 MR. TISELL: No. But . . . And but --

8 DR. TOMPKINS: It's conjecture.

9 MR. TISELL: I think it's Earl and Innes, you  
10 know, all the way up to where Lola's Cafe that used to  
11 be there. I think --

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Well, why don't you and  
13 Dr. Tompkins work --

14 MR. TISELL: -- it --

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- out the parameters?

16 MR. TISELL: I think Lennar is responsible up  
17 to right there, you know, right there at Lola's.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excuse me, though, but the  
19 point is, is that regardless of who's responsible,  
20 whether it's Lennar, the City, or --

21 DR. TOMPKINS: The Navy.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- the Navy, if the letter  
23 goes to the City, then they find out who's responsible  
24 and get it cleaned, correct?

25 DR. TOMPKINS: With the blessing of the Board.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Correct?

2 MR. VAN HOUTEN: That's ambitious.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So I think there's a  
4 motion that needs to happen before a letter to be  
5 authorized. So somebody put that on the table.

6 DR. TOMPKINS: I so move.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: So move. What's the motion?  
8 The motion is . . . ?

9 DR. TOMPKINS: Is that the Board send a letter  
10 to the City, advising them of the necessity for keeping  
11 Evans Street clean.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: All rightie. And --

13 ATTENDEE: Innes.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Innes.

15 DR. TOMPKINS: Innes.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Innes clean and that  
17 Dr. Tompkins --

18 DR. TOMPKINS: Senior moment.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- will draft that letter for  
20 signature by Mr. Tisdell; is that correct?

21 DR. TOMPKINS: Yes.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So that's the  
23 motion. Is anybody seconding that motion?

24 MS. BUSHNELL: I'll second.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We have a second from

65

67

Miss Bushnell. All right. So barring any discussion on that -- yes. Okay. Well, I'll call the question, then.

All in favor of the motion, as Dr. Tompkins said it -- and let me just rephrase it to make sure we got it, which is that a letter will be drafted on behalf of the RAB to the City of San Francisco that outlines the need to have Innes Street from Point A to Point B cleaned to keep contamination down, and that would be signed by Mr. Tisdell on behalf of the RAB. So that's the motion.

All in favor?

THE BOARD: Aye

MS. PENDERGRASS: Any opposed? Any abstaining from that vote?

All right, then. So --

MS. BROWN: I --

MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry. Miss Brown?

MS. BROWN: I would like to recommend that a copy of the letter also be sent to Lennar and the Navy.

MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Okay. That can happen.

So again, we need an action item to make sure that there's follow-up on that. Okay. And the author of that action item would necessarily be Dr. Tompkins,

Sometimes -- No cc? Okay. Just --

MR. TISDELL: Yeah.

MS. BROWNELL: I'll do it.

DR. TOMPKINS: Okay.

MS. PENDERGRASS: They would go to Miss Brownell and then only cc.s to the other members of the RAB, okay? Does that make sense?

MS. BROWNELL: Yes.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So we're clear on those two actions.

MR. TISDELL: So I'm out --

MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Then let's move on to committees before we --

DR. TOMPKINS: Your signature has to be on it.

MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry?

DR. TOMPKINS: No, no. Keith said he was out --

MR. TISDELL: Am I out of it, since she's --?

MS. PENDERGRASS: No. Sweetie, Sweetie, you are not out of anything. You're the community co-chair.

MR. TISDELL: I know, but --

MS. PENDERGRASS: You have to sign the letter.

MR. TISDELL: Yeah, I sign them and then that's -- okay. Fine.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Then it goes to -- okay. Got

66

68

and so we'll make sure that that happens.

Yes, sir.

MR. TISDELL: I have one. Did anybody know when the CAC -- I mean the PAC --?

DR. TOMPKINS: C.A.C. or PAC?

MR. TISDELL: The PAC. The second letter where this is going to, PAC, right, or the CAC?

MS. BUSHNELL: The CAC.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. The second letter is going to the City.

DR. TOMPKINS: Would be C.A.C., Citizens Advisory Council, because that's the one that's overseeing the --

MR. TISDELL: Okay. That's the -- that's the C.A.C.

DR. TOMPKINS: C.A.C.

MR. TISDELL: Okay. So both letters is going to the C.A.C.

MS. PENDERGRASS: No. The letters are going directly to the City and County of San Francisco.

MR. TISDELL: But that's not --

MS. PENDERGRASS: And they should be directed to Miss Brownell, and Miss Brownell will direct them to the appropriate parties.

DR. TOMPKINS: Should I c- --? No cc's?

it. I just want to make sure that we have the proper authority behind the letters. Okay?

All right. Let's move to subcommittee reports, something more light and friendly. And just to mess things up a little bit, let's start with the Economic Subcommittee.

MR. TISDELL: Oh. Nobody's here.

DR. TOMPKINS: What about the TAG grant?

MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry?

DR. TOMPKINS: You skipped TAG grant.

MS. PENDERGRASS: I sure did. I tipped -- skipped right on down to the Economic. That's in my purview.

DR. TOMPKINS: Well, just inform them.

MS. BROWNELL: You skipped an agenda item.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, I did, didn't I. All right. Let's move to the Technical Assistant Grant update.

DR. TOMPKINS: I'll make it quick.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Sorry.

DR. TOMPKINS: The --

Thank you.

The close-out date was October the 20th. We did receive one. The executive board will be meeting this weekend to review that.

1 The announcement was at City College Chemistry  
2 Department and the faculty meeting announcement also at  
3 San Francisco State University for interested  
4 candidates. As I gave all board members copy, also was  
5 announced on KPOO radio FM public announcement as well  
6 about the TAG grant.

7 So that after we review it, we'll then let you  
8 know the results on the candidate --

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

10 DR. TOMPKINS: -- whether they met the criteria  
11 or not.

12 I then have to submit an action plan to EPA  
13 later as well. So there's other paperwork.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Any questions on that?  
15 Miss Bushnell?

16 MS. BUSHNELL: When is your first community  
17 meeting asking community input into what the TAG grant  
18 should look at?

19 DR. TOMPKINS: You're asking of CFC? 'cause --

20 MS. BUSHNELL: I refer to the "community" as  
21 the Bayview-Hunters Point community. There has never  
22 been any public meetings that I am aware of. You have  
23 never announced to anybody here.

24 As part of the TAG grant, it is required of you  
25 to have community meetings. So --

1 DR. TOMPKINS: Yes.

2 MS. BUSHNELL: -- if you're trying to decide  
3 what to look at to study on the Shipyard, you should  
4 have community input. And that's why I'm asking, when  
5 have you scheduled a community meeting to discuss this?

6 DR. TOMPKINS: That was taken care of  
7 previously when I announced here at the RAB board what  
8 the criteria was, what would be evaluated for the TAG  
9 grant as well. There are scheduled in the TAG grant  
10 community meetings in terms of delineating to the public  
11 as to -- and that's part of the work plan that is  
12 required that I have to submit to EPA.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Let's -- Miss Jackie Lane  
14 has something she's just dying to add to this  
15 conversation.

16 MS. LANE: Just for the record for the RAB and  
17 Miss Bushnell, I have some -- for the advanced  
18 monitoring evaluation on CFC, part of that is that  
19 evalu- --

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can you say that again for  
21 us?

22 MS. LANE: The advanced monitoring evaluation  
23 that I'm doing on the CFC and TAG, what -- part of that  
24 is an action plan for them to start doing things better,  
25 and part of that is to also submit to EPA a plan of

1 action on how they would be doing their community  
2 outreach from this point forward. So all of that would  
3 be incorporated in that. And part of that will be how  
4 they get input from the community.

5 So I hope that answers your question.

6 MS. BUSHNELL: Yes, thank you.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Thank you.

8 Are you completed, Mr. Tomp- -- Dr. Tompkins?

9 DR. TOMPKINS: Yes, I am. Thank you.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Very good.

11 DR. TOMPKINS: Quick and short.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

13 So the Economic Subcommittee report? No one's  
14 here?

15 MR. TISDELL: Pat Brooks.

16 MR. BROOKS: Several of us met at the library  
17 to talk about different trucking issues. And Jesse  
18 Mason, the subcommittee co-chair, was absent; and so we  
19 don't have minutes of that meeting.

20 But we did -- there were several trucking firms  
21 that represented themselves. We had Charlie DePew,  
22 who's our contractor officer for Hunters Point Shipyard;  
23 myself, I think Mark Walden, Navy RPM, and Keith Forman  
24 were there along with -- I'm not going to be able to  
25 remember all the trucking firms. Denise was there.

1 MR. TISDELL: Jerry Higgins.

2 MR. BROOKS: We basically decided that in order  
3 to make sure that the local community is actively  
4 participating in the cleanup, that the major contractors  
5 were all going to ensure that the local truckers are a  
6 hundred percent used if -- you know, if they have trucks  
7 available.

8 If they don't have trucks available, then the  
9 large contractors are free to go to the outside area to  
10 get trucks because we just have -- we have a bigger  
11 trucking need than can be provided within these three  
12 ZIP Codes.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

14 MR. BROOKS: But we do intend to utilize a  
15 hundred percent local trucking and then make up the  
16 extra from other places. That was kind of the gist of  
17 it.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Well, thank you for  
19 that update.

20 And the next Economic meeting will be to be  
21 determined?

22 MR. BROOKS: Yeah, and I guess to be determined  
23 because Mr. Mason was not there.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Very fine.

25 But then the Technical Subcommittee meeting.

1 Yes, ma'am.

2 MS. BUSHNELL: Basically, we had combined with

3 the Membership and Bylaws. We reviewed -- there are

4 minutes back there -- the groundwater survey at

5 Parcel B. We were able to discuss the differences

6 between the cleanup areas in Aquifer A and B.

7 And it was very informative, Pat. Thank you.

8 It's -- I know it's helped me look at the reports that

9 I'm seeing as to what's looking at there.

10 And I think looking at what is in the

11 groundwater documents, it would be a very valuable thing

12 for the TAG grant to look at because there's lots of bad

13 stuff out there that's still being cleaned up, and the

14 Navy is trying its best.

15 It was a good meeting.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can I just ask a question,

17 then? There's two sets of minutes. Like, there's the

18 meeting minutes for the Technical Subcommittee meeting,

19 and then there's a stapled copy that says,

20 "Membership/Bylaws," "Community Outreach," and

21 "Technical Review."

22 MS. BUSHNELL: It was a combined meeting.

23 MR. TISDELL: It was a combined meeting.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: But there's two sets --

25 DR. TOMPKINS: Please look at the date. The --

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: One's September the 19th

2 [sic] --

3 DR. TOMPKINS: Right --

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- of --

5 DR. TOMPKINS: Two different meetings.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Got it. Thank you. Just

7 wanted to make sure we had --

8 DR. TOMPKINS: Two different time periods.

9 MR. BROOKS: I forgot to bring those last

10 time.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

12 MR. BROOKS: I remembered this time.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So just to make sure

14 it's clear.

15 And then the Membership and Bylaws and

16 Community Outreach meeting.

17 MR. TISDELL: Yes. We had a real short lovely

18 meeting in which we had an application.

19 (Applause.)

20 MR. TISDELL: That's right. It's my -- it's my

21 secretary, because my secretary forgot the applications

22 to pass out.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: That's okay. We can --

24 MR. TISDELL: So I put her on probation.

25 But I had Miss Kristine --

1 Eena?

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Enea.

3 MR. TISDELL: Enea?

4 MS. ATTENDEE: Rhymes with Maria.

5 MR. TISDELL: But anyway, okay.

6 So I had her compose what she put on her

7 application, and I would like to briefly read it if

8 anybody would like to read on their own.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

10 MS. BUSHNELL: I believe she could speak for

11 herself. She's very well spoken.

12 MR. TISDELL: Yes, and I was going to ask

13 her to --

14 MS. BUSHNELL: Yeah.

15 MR. TISDELL: -- give a little brief so -- I

16 mean real brief --

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Introduction.

18 MR. TISDELL: -- synopsis about herself.

19 So, Miss -- could you --

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

21 MR. TISDELL: -- please?

22 MS. ENEA: Hello. Thanks for having me here.

23 My name is Kristine Enea. I'm relatively new to Hunters

24 Point. I've lived on Innes Avenue for about a year and

25 a half and known Keith for probably about a year now. I

1 think our dogs introduced us to each other.

2 Keith convinced me that being on RAB is

3 something I'd want to do to help monitor what's going on

4 at the Shipyard, and I personally am interested in

5 accurate information firsthand. I would like

6 information firsthand. I'm committed to coming to

7 meetings and sharing that information with my neighbors

8 and also providing feedback from the community.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excellent.

10 (Applause.)

11 MS. ENEA: Thanks.

12 MR. TISDELL: You would have to vote.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Somebody did a

14 really great orientation job on that woman.

15 MR. TISDELL: I'll take it.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you so much.

17 MR. TISDELL: I'll take it.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: You'll take all the credit?

19 MR. TISDELL: I'll take it.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So of course we need

21 to vote.

22 MR. TISDELL: So --

23 DR. TOMPKINS: Yes.

24 MR. TISDELL: -- I like to make a motion that

25 we accept Kristina [sic] Enea as a RAB applicant.

DR. TOMPKINS: As a member.

MR. TISDELL: I mean as a RAB -- woo, a RAB member, and --

MS. PENDERGRASS: And she would fill the category of --

MR. TISDELL: -- resident.

MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Excellent. All right. So --

MS. BUSHNELL: I second.

MS. PENDERGRASS: We have a second from Miss Bushnell, and I'll call the question. Or actually, I guess, any discussion? Anybody have any questions or anything?

Great. All rightie. I'll call the question.

All in favor of adding Miss Enea to our esteemed group here called the RAB, signify by raising your hand.

One, two, three, four, five. Okay.

Anybody opposed? Any abstention? Any abstentions from that vote?

None. So the ayes have it.

Come on forward to the table.

(Applause.)

MS. PENDERGRASS: Welcome. All rightie, then.

So with that, we are all set.

Is there anything that the community -- anybody

# CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting was reported by me stenographically to the best of my ability at the time and place aforementioned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 22nd day of November, 2006.

CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, C.S.R. NO. 4569

sitting out there would like to say before we adjourn tonight?

Sir? Oh, come on now. Speak up.

MR. HAMPTON: No, thank you.

MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

Yes, sir. Do you have anything you'd like to add? All right.

Anyone else? All right. Well, I think -- can I say it?

(Whereupon, the gavel signals adjournment at 7:41 p.m., 10/26/06.)

--oOo--

April 11, 2007

Diane Silva  
SWDIV Records Manager  
Administrative Record (Code EVR)  
NAVFACENGCOM Southwest  
1220 Pacific Highway  
San Diego, CA 92132

Subject: Hunters Point Shipyard Information Repository/Administrative Record  
Submittals – Contract No. N68711-03-D-5106, CTO-016

Dear Ms. Silva,

Enclosed are three copies of the following documents for submittal to the Hunters Point Shipyard Information Repository/Administrative Record:

- Final September 28, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final September 28, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript
- Final October 26, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final October 26, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript
- Final December 7, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final December 7, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript
- Final January 25, 2007 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final January 25, 2007 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript
- Final February 22, 2007 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final February 22, 2007 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript

Please feel free to contact me or Angela Williams (Community Relations Specialist – [angelawilliams@bai.cc](mailto:angelawilliams@bai.cc)) if you have any questions.

Thank you,



Saravanan (Eli) Vedagiri, P.E.  
Program Manager  
Barajas and Associates, Inc.  
Phone: (619) 338-0798, ext. 11  
Fax: (619) 338-0617  
E-mail: [eliv@bai.cc](mailto:eliv@bai.cc)

cc : Keith Forman, BEC  
Cynthia Mafara, Contract Specialist